

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/918,463	08/01/2001	Yongju Jung	1567.1014	2888
49455	7590 06/21/2005		EXAMINER	
STEIN, MCEWEN & BUI, LLP		DOVE, TRACY MAE		
1400 EYE STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			. 1745	

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	ha)					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/918,463	JUNG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tracy Dove	1745				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B6(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thi vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rly (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 M	ay 2005.	•				
,	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.l	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6-16,19,20,22,23,25,26 and 32-4a</u>) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,6-16,19,20,22,23,25,26 and 32-7</u>) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. 35 is/are rejected.	ipplication.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in a rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this Nationa	ıl Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/17/05.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT	ГО-152)			

The state of the s

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the communication filed on 5/17/05. Applicant's arguments have been considered, but the claims are rejected in view of newly applied prior art. Claims 2, 5, 17, 18, 21, 24 and 27-31 have been canceled. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-16, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25, 26 and 32-35 are pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/17/05 has been entered.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 5/17/05 has been considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-11, 14, 15, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25, 26 and 32-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim

does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. See MPEP § 2173.05(c). The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claims 1, 19 and 33 recite the broad recitation "the weak polar solvent is selected from the group consisting of aryl compounds, cyclic or noncyclic ether compounds, and noncyclic carbonate compounds", and the claims also recites "the weak polar solvent comprises one solvent selected from the group consisting of xylene, dimethoxyethane, 2-methyltetrahydrofurane, diethyl carbonate, dimethyl carbonate, toluene, dimethyl ether and diethyl ether", which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Claims 9 and 14 are indefinite because it is unclear if the positive electrode is required to contain a transition metal. The claims do not positively recite a transition metal is contained in the positive electrode.

Claims 10 and 15 are indefinite because it is unclear if the positive electrode is required to contain a Group IIIA element and/or a Group IVA element. The claims do not positively recite a Group IIIA element and/or a Group IVA element is contained in the positive electrode.

To the extent the claims are understood in view of the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejections above, note the following prior art rejection. Examiner points out the limitations of claims 9, 10, 14 and 15 are not required for the lithium-sulfur battery of the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/918,463 Page 4

Art Unit: 1745

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-11, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25, 26 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vourlis, US 5,432,030.

Vourlis teaches a lithium/FeS₂ rechargeable electrochemical cell comprising an electrolyte including a solvent mixture of 3-methyl-2-oxazolidone (strong polar), 1,3-dioxolane (lithium protect) and 1,2-dimethoxyethane (weak polar) with a LiCF₃SO₃ salt. See abstract. FeS₂ is a sulfur based compound comprising an iron additive. The anode may contain lithium or a lithium alloy (3:42-45). The cathode may contain a conductive material and a binder (Ex. 1). The cathode material is coated on a current collector (Ex. 4).

Thus the claims are anticipated.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-16, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25, 26, 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Evans et al., US 4,302,520.

Evans teaches an electrochemical cell comprising a solid cathode material, a lithium anode and an organic electrolyte. The solid cathode material includes metallic bismuth, metallic sulfur and metallic iron or lead. The electrolyte includes a mixed solvent and a solute (abstract). The cathode may include a conductive agent (2:20-21). The anode may comprise lithium or a lithium alloy (2:46-55). Preferred solvents for the electrolyte include sulfolane, acetonitrile,

tetrahydrofuran, methyl tetrahydrofuran, dioxolane, 3-methyl-2-oxazolidone, propylene carbonate, butyrolatone, ethylene glycol sulfite, dimethylsulfite, dimethyl sulfoxide and dimethyoxyethane (4:28-39). The best electrolyte solvent is a 3-methyl-2-oxazolidone (3M2O) based electrolyte. Low viscosity solvents may be used as cosolvents with the 3M2O solvent. The low viscosity solvents are listed at col. 4, lines 62-col. 5, lines 4. Example 1 teaches an electrolyte comprising a mixed solvent and a LiCF₃SO₃ salt. The mixed solvent comprises dioxolane (lithium protect), dimethyoxyethane (weak polar), 3M2O (strong polar) and dimethylisoxazole (lithium protect).

Thus the claims are anticipated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10, 15, 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vourlis, US 5,432,030 or Evans et al., US 4,302,520 in view of Katz et al., US 6,358,643.

See discussion of Vourlis and Evans above.

Neither Vourlis nor Evans teaches the porosity of the positive electrode.

However, the claimed invention would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because Katz teaches in a liquid electrolyte lithiumsulfur battery it is generally desirable that the positive electrode have a relatively high porosity, possibly as high as 95% or more. Generally, higher porosity electrodes allow fabrication of cells Art Unit: 1745

with higher laminate energy densities because less electronic conductor is required. Of course, an electrode's porosity, capacity and thickness are linked so that setting two of these parameters fixes the other. The courts have ruled where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. In re Swain et al., 33 CCPA 1250, 156 F.2d 239, 70 USPQ 412. Furthermore, the courts have ruled that discovery of an optimum value of a result effective variable in a known process is ordinarily within the skill of the art. In re Antonie, 559 F.2d 618, 195 USPQ 6 (CCPA 1977). Katz teaches the electrode porosity, capacity and thickness can be varied to reach a desired electrode structure. Varying the porosity of the sulfur cathode of Vourles or Evans is considered within the skill of one having ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tracy Dove whose telephone number is 571-272-1285. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (9:00-7:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/918,463 Page 7

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TRACY DOVE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

June 15, 2005